

CHAPTER 5: POWER SERIES EXPANSIONS OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

5.1: TAYLOR'S THEOREM

**Theorem:** Let  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic and suppose that  $\overline{\mathbb{B}(a, R)} \subset \Omega$ . For each  $n \geq 0$  define  $a_n$  by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|z-a|=R\}} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}} dz.$$

Then the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (w-a)^n$  converges for all  $w \in \mathbb{B}(a, R)$  and we have

$$f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (w-a)^n.$$

In particular,  $f$  is infinitely complex differentiable and  $f^{(n)}(a) = n! a_n$ .

**Proof:** See handout. ■

5.2: BINOMIAL SERIES

Recall that  $\text{Log} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Log}(z) &= \log(r) + i\theta \\ \text{for } z &= re^{i\theta}, \quad r \geq 0, \quad -\pi < \theta \leq \pi. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Log}$  is differentiable and  $\frac{d}{dz} \text{Log}(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ . This follows from the formula for the differentiation of inverse functions:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{-1})'(z) &= 1/f'(f^{-1}(z)), \\ \text{Log} &= \exp^{-1}, \quad \exp' = \exp. \end{aligned}$$

$$(1+z)^a = \exp(a \text{Log}(1+z)) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

So for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dz} (1+z)^a = \frac{d}{dz} \exp(a \text{Log}(1+z)) = \frac{a}{1+z} = a(1+z)^{a-1}.$$

It follows that  $\frac{d^n}{dz^n}(1+z)^a = a(a-1)\dots(a-n+1)(1+z)^{a-n}$ . So, if we let  $f(z) = (1+z)^a$  then  $f^{(n)}(0) = a(a-1)\dots(a-n+1)$ . Observe that  $f$  is holomorphic on the unit disc  $\mathbb{B}(0,1)$ . Hence, by Taylor's Theorem, if  $|z| < 1$  we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)z^n}{n!}$$

$$(1+z)^a = 1 + az + \frac{a(a-1)}{2!}z^2 + \frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{3!}z^3 + \dots + \frac{a(a-1)\dots(a-n+1)}{n!}z^n + \dots$$

This is our *binomial series*. The radius of convergence  $R$  is given by

$$R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a(a-1)\dots(a-n+1)}{n!} \frac{(n+1)!}{a(a-1)\dots(a-n)} \right|$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n+1}{a-n} \right|$$

$$= 1$$

for  $a \notin \mathbb{N}$ . This is consistent with the fact that  $f$  is not defined (and so not holomorphic) on  $\mathbb{B}(0,\rho)$  for  $\rho > 1$ .

### 5.3: EXAMPLES OF TAYLOR SERIES

(i)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4+9z^2}}$$

$$= 4^{-1/2} (1+9z^2/4)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + (-1/2) \left( \frac{9z^2}{4} \right) + \frac{(-1/2)(-3/2)}{2!} \left( \frac{9z^2}{4} \right)^2 + \dots + \frac{(-1/2)\dots(1/2-k)}{k!} \left( \frac{9z^2}{4} \right)^k + \dots \right)$$

Let us simplify the general term:

$$\frac{(-1)(-3)\dots(1-2k)}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot 2} \frac{9^k z^{2k}}{k! 4^k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} \frac{1 \times 2 \times 3 \times \dots \times (2k-1) \times 2k}{2 \times 4 \times 6 \times \dots \times 2k} \frac{9^k z^{2k}}{k! 4^k}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^k (2k)! 9^k z^{2k}}{(k!)^2 16^k}$$

Therefore, the Taylor series of  $f$  about  $z = 0$  is

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (2k)! 9^k}{(k!)^2 16^k} z^{2k} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

where  $a_0 = 1/2$ ,  $a_{2k} = \frac{(-1)^k (2k)! 9^k}{2(k!)^2 16^k}$ ,  $a_{2k+1} = 0$ .

As for the radius of convergence, we have applied the binomial series to  $(1 + 9z^2/4)^{-1/2}$ , valid when  $|9z^2/4| < 1$  i.e.  $|z| < 2/3$ , so  $R = 2/3$ .

(ii) Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial and suppose  $p(0) = 1$ . What is the Taylor series of  $1/p(z)$  about  $z = 0$ ? For example, let  $p(z) = 1 + 4z + z^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p(z)} &= 1 - (4z + z^2) + (4z + z^2)^2 - (4z + z^2)^3 + \dots + (-1)^k (4z + z^2)^k \\ &= 1 - 4z - z^2 + 16z^2 + 8z^3 + z^4 - 64z^3 - 48z^4 - 12z^5 - z^6 + \dots \\ &= 1 - 4z + 15z^2 - 56z^3 + O(z^4) \end{aligned}$$

This method works for any  $1/g(z)$  when  $g$  is holomorphic and  $g(0) \neq 0$ . For example, let  $g(z) = \cos(z)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\cos(z)} &= \frac{1}{1 + (-z^2/2 + z^4/4! - z^6/6! + \dots)} \\ &= 1 - \left( -\frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} - \dots \right) + \left( -\frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^4}{4!} - \dots \right)^2 - \dots \\ &= 1 + \left( z^2/2 - z^4/4! + z^6/6! - \dots \right) + \left( z^4/4 - z^6/6! + \dots \right) + \dots \\ &= 1 + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{5}{24} z^4 + O(z^6) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The Taylor series of  $e^z$  about  $z = i$ :

$$e^z = e^i e^{z-i} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^i}{n!} (z-i)^n$$

The expression  $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|z-a|=R\}} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}} dz$  turns out to be useful for proving many theorems of complex analysis:

**Theorem:** (Liouville's Theorem) *If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and bounded then  $f$  is constant.*

**Proof:** By Taylor's Theorem,

$$f'(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|z-a|=R\}} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2} dz.$$

By definition,  $f$  is bounded if and only if  $\exists M > 0$  such that  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .  
By the Estimation Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(a)| &\leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \int_{\{|z-a|=R\}} \frac{|dz|}{R^2} \\ &= \frac{M}{2\pi R^2} 2\pi R \\ &= \frac{M}{R} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f'(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , and so  $f$  is constant. ■

### 5.3: LAURENT SERIES

**Definition:** A *Laurent series* is a series of the form  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ .

It is often convenient to split a Laurent series into two parts:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} z^{-n}$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . If we set  $z = 1/\zeta$  in the first sum we get the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} \zeta^n$ ; let this series have radius of convergence  $\rho$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} z^{-n}$  converges when  $|z| > R_1$  where  $R_1 = 1/\rho$ . Let the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be  $R_2$ . If  $R_1 < R_2$  then  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$  converges on the annulus for which  $R_1 < |z| < R_2$ .

**Theorem:** (Laurent's Theorem) *Suppose  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic on the annulus  $\mathbb{A}(a, R_1, R_2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid R_1 < |z-a| < R_2\} \subset \Omega$ . For  $\rho \in (R_1, R_2)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let*

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|z-a|=\rho\}} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}} dz.$$

*Then the Laurent series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n (w-a)^n$  converges to  $f(w)$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{A}(a, R_1, R_2)$ .*

**Remarks:** (i) It makes no sense now to claim  $f^{(n)}(a) = n! a_n$  because  $f$  may not actually be defined at  $a$ .

(ii) Laurent's Theorem tacitly asserts that the value of  $a_n$  is independent of the value of  $\rho$  as long as  $R_1 < \rho < R_2$ .

**Proof:** Similar to Taylor's Theorem. ■

5.4: RESIDUE THEORY

**Definition:** The coefficient  $a_{-1}$  in the Laurent expansion of  $f$  about  $a$  is called the *residue* of  $f$  at  $a$  and is denoted  $\text{Res}(f; a)$ .

Observe that  $a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|z-a|=\rho\}} f(z) dz$ . Thus,  $a_{-1}$  is what is ‘left over’ in the evaluation of  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|z-a|=\rho\}} f(z) dz$ , thereby causing Cauchy’s Theorem to fail on the disc  $\mathbb{B}(a, R_2)$ .

**Definitions:** We say that  $f$  has a *point singularity* at  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $f$  is holomorphic on the punctured disc  $\mathbb{B}(a, R) \setminus \{a\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z - a| < R\}$ . This happens when  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} \zeta^n$  converges for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  in the Laurent expansion of  $f$ ;  $\zeta = 1/(w - a)$ .

The simplest type of point singularity occurs when, in the Laurent expansion of  $f$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{-N} \neq 0$  but  $n > N \Rightarrow a_{-n} = 0$ . In this case we say that  $f$  is *meromorphic* on the disc  $\mathbb{B}(a, R)$  and that it has a *pole of order  $N$*  at  $a$ . A pole of order 1 is called a *simple pole*, a pole of order 2 a *double pole*, etc.

If for all  $N \in \mathbb{N} \exists n > N$  such that  $a_{-n} \neq 0$  we say that  $f$  has an *essential singularity* at  $a$ . For example,  $\exp(1/z)$  has an essential singularity at 0.

**Theorem:** (The Residue Theorem) *Let  $\Omega$  be open in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic on  $\Omega \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ . Let  $E \subset \Omega$  be a region such that  $\partial E \subset \Omega$  and  $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\} \subset E$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E} f(z) dz = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Res}(f; z_j).$$

**Proof:** Let  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathbb{B}}_k$  be pairwise disjoint closed discs centred on  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  such that each  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_j \subset E$ . Then  $f$  is holomorphic on  $E \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathbb{B}}_j$  and so, by Cauchy’s Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial(E \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathbb{B}}_j)} f(z) dz = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E} f(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_j \int_{\partial \mathbb{B}_j} f(z) dz = \sum_j \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbb{B}_j} f(z) dz \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E} f(z) dz = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Res}(f; z_j) \end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem:** (Picard's Theorem) If  $f$  has an essential singularity at 0 then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $f|_{\mathbb{B}(0,\varepsilon)\setminus\{0\}}$  assumes every value infinitely often, except at most one value.

5.5: CALCULATING AND USING RESIDUES

**Proposition:** Suppose  $g$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{B}(a, \rho)$  for  $\rho > 0$  satisfying  $g(a) \neq 0$ . Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and define  $f$  by  $f(z) = g(z)/(z-a)^N$ . Then

- (i)  $f$  has a pole of order  $N$  at  $a$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Res}(f; a) = a_{N-1}$ , where  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-a)^n$ .

**Proof:**

$$g(z) = a_0 + a_1(z-a) + a_2(z-a)^2 + \dots + a_{N-1}(z-a)^{N-1} + a_N(z-a)^N + \dots$$

$$f(z) = \underbrace{\frac{a_0}{(z-a)^N}}_{\text{pole of order } N} + \dots + \underbrace{\frac{a_{N-1}}{(z-a)}}_{\text{contribution to residue}} + a_N + a_{N+1}(z-a) + \dots$$

provided  $g(a) \neq 0$ . ■

**Example:** Let  $f(z) = \frac{1}{1+z^4}$ . Classify all the poles of  $f$ , i.e. locate them, state their order and calculate the residue of  $f$  at each.

$$z^4 + 1 = (z^2 + i)(z^2 - i)$$

$$= (z + i\sqrt{i})(z - i\sqrt{i})(z - \sqrt{i})(z + \sqrt{i})$$

So  $f$  has poles at  $\pm\sqrt{i}, \pm i\sqrt{i}$ . Consider the pole at  $\sqrt{i}$ :

$$g(z) = 1/(z + i\sqrt{i})(z - i\sqrt{i})(z + \sqrt{i})$$

$$f(z) = g(z)/(z - \sqrt{i})$$

$$g(\sqrt{i}) = 1/4i\sqrt{i}$$

So  $f$  has a simple pole at  $\sqrt{i}$  and  $\text{Res}(f; \sqrt{i}) = g(\sqrt{i}) = \sqrt{i}/4$ . Similarly we see that all the other three poles are simple and have residues

$$\text{Res}(f; -\sqrt{i}) = \sqrt{i}/4,$$

$$\text{Res}(f; i\sqrt{i}) = -i\sqrt{i}/4,$$

$$\text{Res}(f; -i\sqrt{i}) = i\sqrt{i}/4.$$

We can also use residues to calculate integrals:

**Example:** Evaluate  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^4}$  by considering  $\int_{C_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^4}$ , where  $C_R = (-R, R) \cup C_R^+$ ,  $R > 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^4} &= \text{Res}(f; \sqrt{i}) + \text{Res}(f; i\sqrt{i}) \\ &= -\sqrt{i}/4 - i\sqrt{i}/4 \end{aligned}$$

By the Estimation Lemma,

$$\left| \int_{C_R^+} \frac{dz}{1+z^4} \right| \leq \frac{\pi R}{R^4+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

So,  $\int_{C_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^4} = \int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{1+x^4} + \int_{C_R^+} \frac{dz}{1+z^4}$ . As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2\pi i \sqrt{i}(1+i)}{4} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^4} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{i(1+i)(1+i)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \pi/2 \end{aligned}$$

### 5.6: THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

**Theorem:** Let  $p$  be a polynomial of degree  $n > 0$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.

$$p(z) = a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$$

with  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then there are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  so that

$$p(z) = a_n (z - \lambda_1) \dots (z - \lambda_n).$$

**Proof:** The proof has three steps, of which Step 1 is the most important. In Step 1 we establish the existence of a  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(\lambda_1) = 0$ . In Step 2 we use Taylor's Theorem to show that  $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)p_1(z)$ , where  $p_1$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - 1$ . In Step 3 we repeat Steps 1 and 2  $n - 1$  more times.

*Step 1:* Suppose  $p(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $f(z) = 1/p(z)$ . Then  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 0$ :

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^n} \frac{1}{a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{z^n}}$$

and so for  $|z| > \frac{n-1}{|a_n|} (|a_{n-1}| + \dots + |a_0|)$  we have

$$|f(z)| < \frac{1}{|a_n z^n|} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{n}{n+1}} = \frac{n+1}{|a_n z^n|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular,  $f$  is bounded and so, by Liouville's Theorem, constant (in fact, this constant is 0 since  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 0$ ). But  $p$  is not constant and so neither is  $f$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $p(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and so there is a  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(\lambda_1) = 0$ .

*Step 2:* Now apply Taylor's Theorem to  $p$  at  $\lambda_1$ :

$$p(z) = p(\lambda_1) + (z - \lambda_1)p'(\lambda_1) + (z - \lambda_1)^2 p''(\lambda_1) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} (z - \lambda_1)^n p^{(n)}(\lambda_1) = (z - \lambda_1)p_1(z)$$

where  $p_1$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - 1$ .

*Step 3:* If  $n = 1$  we are done. If  $n > 1$  we apply Steps 1 and 2 to the polynomial  $p_1$  to obtain the existence of  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and a polynomial  $p_2$  of degree  $n - 2$  such that  $p_1(\lambda_2) = 0$  and  $p_1(z) = (z - \lambda_2)p_2(z)$ . It follows that  $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)p_2(z)$ . Carrying out Steps 1 and 2 a total of  $n$  times yields

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1) \dots (z - \lambda_n) p_n(z)$$

where  $p_n$  is a polynomial of degree 0 i.e. a constant. Equating coefficients yields  $p_n(z) = a_n$ , establishing the result. ■